Safety, Biodistribution, and Radiation Dosimetry of ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 in Patients with Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors: A Prospective Phase I Imaging Study
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Preclinical and preliminary clinical evidence indicates that radiolabeled somatostatin (sst) receptor antagonists perform better than agonists in detecting neuroendocrine tumors (NETs). We performed a prospective phase I/I study to evaluate the sst receptor antagonist ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 (⁶⁸Ga-NODAGA-JR11; NODAGA = 1,4,7-triazacyclononane, 1-glutaric acid-4,7-acetic acid and JR11 = Cpa-c(Cys-Aph(Hor)-oAph(Cbm)-Lys-Thr-Cys)-oTyr-NH₂) for PET imaging. Here, we report the results of phase I of the study. Methods: Patients received 2 single 150-MBq intravenous injections of ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 3–4 wk apart (15 µg of peptide at visit 1 and 50 µg at visit 2). At visit 1, a dynamic PET/CT scan over the kidney was obtained during the first 30 min after injection, and static whole-body scans were obtained at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 h after injection; at visit 2, a static whole-body scan was obtained at 1 h. Blood samples and urine were collected at regular intervals to determine ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 pharmacokinetics. Safety, biodistribution, radiation dosimetry, and the most appropriate imaging time point for ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 were assessed. Results: Twelve patients with well-differentiated gastroenteropancreatic (GEP) NETs took part in the study. ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 cleared rapidly from the blood, with a mean residence time of 2.4 ± 1.1 min/L. The organs with the highest mean dose coefficients were the urinary bladder wall, kidneys, and spleen. The calculated effective dose was 2.4E−02 ± 0.2E−02 mSv/MBq, corresponding to 3.6 mSv, for a reference activity of 150 MBq. Based on total numbers of detected malignant lesions, the optimal time window for the scan was between 1 and 2 h. For malignant liver lesions, the time point at which most patients had the highest mean tumor contrast was 1 h. ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 was well tolerated; adverse events were grade 1 or 2, and there were no signals of concern from laboratory blood or urinalysis tests. Conclusion: ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 showed favorable biodistribution and imaging properties, with optimal tumor contrast between 1 and 2 h after injection. Dosimetry analysis revealed that the dose delivered by ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 to organs is similar to that delivered by other ⁶⁸Ga-labeled sst analogs. Further evaluation of ⁶⁸Ga-OPS202 for PET/CT imaging of NETs is therefore warranted.
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Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) can occur in almost any organ but are most common in the pancreas and gastrointestinal tract (1). Diagnosis is delayed by 3–10 y on average (2), and an estimated 40%–95% of gastroenteropancreatic NETs are metastatic by the time of diagnosis (3). Excluding benign insulinomas, more than 90% of all gastroenteropancreatic NETs express a high density of somatostatin receptor subtype 2 (sst2 receptor) (4). As such, sst receptors have been exploited as molecular targets for imaging and treating gastroenteropancreatic NETs. Indeed, the use of radiolabeled sst analogs has become an integral part of the management of patients with these tumors, facilitating localization of the primary tumor, staging, and restaging (3). They can also be used as theranostic agents to determine the level of sst receptor expression and to select and treat appropriate patients with unlabeled or radiolabeled sst analogs (5).

sst receptor imaging was initially developed using scintigraphy or SPECT with ¹¹¹In- or ⁹⁹mTc-labeled sst receptor agonists (6). Until recently, ¹¹¹In-pentetreotide (OctreoScan; Mallinckrodt, Inc.) was the most widely used radiolabeled sst analog. Currently, PET/CT using ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC is associated with a significantly higher detection rate than conventional sst receptor scintigraphy (6). This difference reflects the higher scanner sensitivity and spatial resolution of PET/CT than of SPECT (6), as well as the higher affinity of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC for the sst2 receptor (7). Alternatively, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE has diagnostic accuracy comparable to ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC (8). In a direct comparison of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC PET/CT and triple-phase CT, specificity was 97.4%, but sensitivity was only 72.8% in a lesion-based analysis (9). High diagnostic sensitivity is mandatory.
for planning surgery and other treatments; thus, there is a clinical need for further improvement of sst receptor imaging in patients with gastroenteropancreatic NETs.

The use of radiolabeled antagonists rather than agonists has the potential to improve sst receptor PET/CT imaging, because antagonists may recognize a higher number of sst receptor binding sites (10). Furthermore, in the first clinical study of the sst receptor antagonist $^{111}$In-DOTA-BASS in cancer patients, tumor uptake and lesion detection rates were higher than with $^{111}$In-pentetreotide (11). However, $^{111}$In-DOTA-BASS is a SPECT tracer, with the above-described limitations of such tracers. For this reason, a small library of sst$_2$ receptor antagonists was developed for PET/CT imaging; of these, we selected OPS202 (NODAGA-JR11; NODAGA = 1,4,7-triazacyclononane,1-glutaric acid-4,7-acetic acid and JR11 = Cayc(c(tCys-Aph(Hor)-tAph(Bhm)-Lys-Thr-Cys)-tTyr-NH$_2$)) because it has demonstrated the highest affinity for sst$_2$ receptor when labeled with $^{68}$Ga (12,13). The results of an in vivo biodistribution study comparing $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE (agonist) with $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 and $^{68}$Ga-DOTA-JR11 have shown that $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 is the radiopharmaceutical of choice for clinical translation, on the basis of its very high tumor uptake and excellent biodistribution profile (13). Here, we present the results of the phase I component of a phase II study. Phase I evaluated the safety, biodistribution, radiation dosimetry, and optimal imaging time point of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 in patients with gastroenteropancreatic NETs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This was an open-label microdosing phase I/II study performed at a single specialist center in Basel, Switzerland (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT02162446; EudraCT no. 2014-001881-88). The Institutional Review Board approved the study, and all subjects signed a written informed consent form in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. There were 4 study visits: a screening visit; visit 1 (within 28 d of the screening visit), during which the first dose of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 (15 µg, 9.03 nmol of OPS202) was administered; visit 2 (3–4 wk after visit 1), during which the second dose of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 (50 µg, 30.1 nmol of OPS202) was administered; and the end-of-study visit 3 (7–15 d after visit 2) for follow-up.

Patients

The main inclusion criteria were an age of at least 18 y, a histologically confirmed diagnosis of well-differentiated gastroenteropancreatic NET, a Karnofsky status of at least 60, a diagnostic CT/MRI scan of the tumor region and a positive sst receptor scan ($^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC PET/CT) obtained in the previous 6 mo, no more than 30 lesions per organ, and at least 10% of the liver in a tumor-free state. Patients were excluded if they had previous or current allergic or autoimmune disease, active infection at screening or serious infection in the previous 6 mo, or treatment with NET-specific agents between the last sst receptor scan and the start of the study (excepting sst analgs, provided there was a sufficient washout period [28 d for long-acting somatostatin analogs and 2 d for short-acting somatostatin analogs]).

Synthesis and Radiolabeling

OPS202 was synthesized according to good manufacturing practice by Bachem AG. $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 was prepared on an automated synthesis unit (PharmTracer; Eckert and Ziegler) by loading the eluate of a $^{68}$Ga/$^{68}$Ga-generator (GalliaPharm; Eckert and Ziegler) onto a cation exchange column. $^{68}$Ga was eluted with a mixture of 5 M NaCl/HCl (14) directly into a reaction vial containing an adjusted amount of OPS202, depending on the intended amount to be administered. OPS202 was radiolabeled at $^{75}$C within 400 s, followed by C18 solid-phase extraction, with radiochemical purity of at least 95%.

Imaging

The first administration of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 (visit 1) was 15 µg/150 MBq ± 25% intravenously over no longer than 1 min (the actual mean administered amount of peptide was 14 ± 4 µg [range, 11–19 µg], and the actual mean administered activity was 161 ± 21 MBq [range, 125–189 MBq]). The second administration of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 (visit 2) was 50 µg/150 MBq ± 25% (the actual mean administered amount of peptide was 50 ± 15 µg [range, 37–63 µg], and the actual mean administered activity was 172 ± 14 MBq [range, 141–192 MBq]). Except for diuretics, use of other medication on scan days was permitted. After injection, the cannula was flushed with normal saline and removed from the patient to measure the residual activity (including the syringe).

Three-dimensional PET scans were acquired at visits 1 and 2, using the same PET/CT scanner at both visits (Discovery STE; GE Healthcare). The effective administered activity (defined as the activity in the syringe before injection minus residual activity in the empty syringe after injection) was used for PET image reconstruction. Calibration and quality assurance were performed with $^{68}$Ga in analogy to the EARL (European Association of Nuclear Medicine Research Ltd.) accreditation procedure with $^{18}$F-FDG (http://earl.eanm.org/cms/website.php): the scanner was cross-calibrated to a well counter calibrated for $^{68}$Ga using a homogeneously filled phantom; image quality and resolution were assessed using a National Electrical Manufactures Association phantom with spheres of various size.

A low-dose, nonenhanced CT scan was acquired using 120 keV, current modulation (Smart mA [GE Healthcare], 30–300) of 0.8 s/rotation, and pitch of 1.75. All PET scans were acquired at 4 min/bed position with a 5-slice overlap. Attenuation-corrected PET images were reconstructed using the standard GE Healthcare proprietary 3-dimensional iterative reconstruction (3 mm in full width at half maximum, 21 subsets, 2 iterations, and 128 × 128 matrix, for a 70-cm-diameter field of view).

At visit 1, a dynamic scan was obtained over the kidney region during the first 30 min; static scans were obtained from head to subinguinal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Baseline Demography and Disease Characteristics in the 12 Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (y)</td>
<td>54.8 (SD, 14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time since gastroenteropancreatic NET diagnosis (y)</td>
<td>4.8 (SD, 8.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenteropancreatic NET hormonal status (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functioning</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfunctioning</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst analog (n)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (all long-acting somatostatin analogs)</td>
<td>6 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6 (50%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
region at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 h after injection. At visit 2, a static scan was obtained from head to subinguinal region 1 h after injection.

**Image Analysis**

Two independent, qualified readers, one of whom was on-site (a board-certified nuclear medicine physician from University Hospital Basel) and the other central (a board-certified radiologist and nuclear medicine physician), masked to the patient and peptide dose, reviewed the scans. Each body region was assessed in the following order: liver, lymph nodes, bone, and other organs. Volumes of interest were used to measure $SUV_{max}$ for each lesion and for reference tissue near the lesion. The biodistribution at 1 h after injection was compared between 15 and 50 μg of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 using $SUV_{max}$ measured in the following normal organs: pituitary, parotid, thyroid, lung, mediastinum, liver, spleen, pancreas, stomach, jejunum/ileum, adrenals, and muscle.

**Radiation Dosimetry**

For visit 1 (15-μg peptide dose), organs with visible uptake were identified on PET images and delineated manually on PET/CT images using a prototype version of Mint Lesion (Mint Medical GmbH). Volumes of interest were drawn over the whole body and over the pituitary, parotids, thyroid, lungs, mediastinum, liver, pancreas, spleen, adrenals, kidneys, gastrointestinal tract, urinary bladder, and vertebral bodies L2–L4 to determine the relative activity in each organ at each time point. Patient-specific individual organ sizes taken from the CT scans were used to determine the uptake of the whole organ. No recovery correction was applied.

Time–activity curves (percentage injected activity within each volume of interest as a function of time) were derived, taking renal excretion of activity into account. With NUKFIT software (15), these curves were fitted by mono- or biexponential curves and integrated to yield the corresponding time-integrated activity coefficients (residence times). The absorbed radiation dose for each organ was calculated using the OLINDA dosimetry software (version 1.1) (16), according to the following parameters and assumptions: $^{68}$Ga; adult male model; no bladder-voiding model; and equal distribution of residence times for the stomach, small intestine, upper large intestine, and lower large intestine. For bone marrow dosimetry, blood-based values for the red bone marrow residence times were calculated according to the method described by Herrmann et al. (17). In addition, an image-based red bone marrow residence time was calculated by integrating the L2–L4 time–activity curve, assuming that 6.7% of the total bone marrow is contained in L2–L4 (17).

Organ dose coefficients (mGy/MBq) were calculated, taking individual organ contributions into account as calculated by OLINDA. The effective dose per actual administered activity (mSv/MBq) was also calculated, applying the tissue weighting factors from publication 60 of the International Commission on Radiological Protection (18).

Blood samples were taken to determine time–activity curves before injection: at 2, 5, 10, 20, and 30 min after injection; and at 1, 2, and 4 h after injection. Urine was also collected—from 0 to 2 h after injection and from 2 to 4 h after injection—to determine the renal excretion of $^{68}$Ga. The total radioactivity concentration in whole blood and urine was determined using a γ-counter (Cobra II; Packard Instrument Co.).

**Safety Assessments**

The primary objective of the study was to evaluate the safety and tolerability of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202. These were assessed at visits 1, 2, and 3 by recording vital signs (≤4 h after injection), physical examination findings, and clinical laboratory test results (hematology, biochemistry, urinalysis) at each visit and performing a 12-lead electrocardiogram (2.5 h after injection and at visit 3). Adverse events (AEs) were recorded and graded according to version 4.03 of the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events.

**Statistical Analysis**

All variables were analyzed descriptively. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test, with a $P$ value of less than 0.05 denoting statistical significance, was used to compare the 15- and 50-μg doses (matched pairs).

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

Twelve patients were screened and took part in the study. All completed the study and were included in the dosimetry, pharmacokinetic, and safety evaluations. Baseline demography and disease characteristics are summarized in Table 1 of this paper for the
TABLE 2  
Mean Background Activity in Organs at 1 Hour After Injection of 15 μg (Visit 1) and 50 μg (Visit 2) of 68Ga-OPS202

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>SUV\textsubscript{max} (Visit 1)</th>
<th>SUV\textsubscript{max} (Visit 2)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>3.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>2.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>4.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jejun ileum</td>
<td>3.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>3.2 (2.0)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parotids</td>
<td>3.7 (1.9)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right adrenal</td>
<td>7.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>6.3 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left adrenal</td>
<td>8.3 (3.9)</td>
<td>7.1 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pituitary</td>
<td>5.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>5.7 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>2.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediastinum</td>
<td>1.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>11.7 (4.2)</td>
<td>10.1 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in parentheses are SDs.

overall study population and in Table 1 of an accompanying paper (on phase II) for each patient (19).

Biodistribution and Pharmacokinetics

The mean residence time in the blood was 2.4 ± 1.1 min/L. Figure 1 shows the whole-body biodistribution of 68Ga-OPS202 1 h after its injection in a patient with an ileal NET, who was in complete remission at the time of imaging. Figure 2 shows the whole-body biodistribution at 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 h in another patient with ileal NET.

Statistical analysis revealed subtle but significantly lower mean background activity (SUV\textsubscript{max}) at visit 2 (50-μg dose) than at visit 1 (15-μg dose) in the liver, stomach, and jejunoileum (Table 2).

Optimal Imaging Time Window

The overall number of malignant lesions at visit 1 was highest, with good reproducibility, in the 1- and 2-h scans and lowest in the 4-h scan: 152 (0.5 h), 162 (1 h), 164 (2 h), and 121 (4 h) (Fig. 2). Similarly, the total number of liver metastases was highest in the 1- and 2-h scans: 125 (0.5 h), 135 (1 h), 137 (2 h), and 96 (4 h).

The total number of lymph node lesions remained almost constant: 19 in the first 3 scans and 18 in the 4-h scan.

For liver metastases, the time point at which most patients had the highest and most reproducible median image contrast (median tumor-to-background ratio with the normal liver as reference tissue) was 1 h (Fig. 2 and Supplemental Fig. 1; supplemental materials are available at http://jnm.snmjournals.org). This was also the case for lymph node metastases (normal lymph node as reference).

Radiation Dosimetry

Mean time-integrated activity coefficients and mean dose coefficients are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. For calculation of the bone marrow contribution to the absorbed doses, the image-based residence times were used as a conservative estimate because they were generally higher than the corresponding blood-based values. The organs with the largest time-integrated activity coefficients were the urinary bladder, liver, kidneys, and lung. The organs with the highest mean dose coefficients were the urinary bladder wall, kidneys, and spleen. The calculated mean effective dose was 2.4E−02 ± 0.2E−02 mSv/MBq, which represents 3.6 mSv for a 150-MBq injection of 68Ga-OPS202.

Safety

Overall, 11 AEs were reported in 6 patients: grade 1 in 4 patients and grade 2 in 2 patients (Table 5). There were no grade 3 (severe), 4 (serious), or 5 (fatal) AEs.

The most frequently reported AEs were urinary tract infection and fatigue (Table 5). Three AEs in 2 patients were assessed as possibly related to treatment: eosinophilia, rash, and diarrhea. All were grade 1 in intensity, and none required drug treatment.

Overall, 8 patients had clinically significant abnormal laboratory results at least once during the study period. In most cases, these abnormalities were also documented either at screening or in the medical history. Furthermore, the number of patients with abnormal hematology, biochemistry, or urinalysis results did not increase from baseline over the course of the study, and no aggravating effect of 68Ga-OPS202 on already-abnormal renal or hepatic parameters was observed. 68Ga-OPS202 did not affect body weight, vital signs, the electrocardiogram results, or the physical examination results.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this was the first clinical study to evaluate a 68Ga-labeled sst receptor antagonist for PET/CT imaging. The results showed that in patients with gastroenteropancreatic NETs,
the organs with the highest dose coefficients were the bladder wall, kidneys, and spleen. The results obtained for the bladder wall and kidneys were consistent with this class of compounds and with the renal elimination of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202.

Data from dosimetry studies with $^{68}$Ga-labeled sst receptor agonists ($^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC, $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE, and $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC) and the results from the current study showed comparable calculated mean effective doses: $2.4E-02 \pm 0.2E-02$ mSv/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-OPS202, $2.6E-02 \pm 0.3E-02$ mSv/MBq and $2.1E-02 \pm 0.3E-02$ mSv/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE (20,21), $2.1E-02 \pm 0.3E-02$ mSv/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC (21), and $2.5E-02 \pm 0.5E-02$ mSv/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC (22). However, there were differences in the biodistribution among these tracers resulting in different organ doses. The most pronounced differences were for the liver, lung, and spleen. The mean liver dose was $2.2E-02 \pm 0.9E-02$ mGy/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-OPS202, $4.5E-02 \pm 1.5E-02$ and $5.0E-02 \pm 1.5E-02$ mGy/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-DOTATATE (20,21), $4.1E-02 \pm 1.4E-02$ mGy/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC (21), and $3.4E-02 \pm 1.0E-02$ mGy/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-DOTANOC (22). The low liver uptake and dose of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 are likely to be clinically relevant because, compared with $^{68}$Ga-DOTATOC, $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 exhibits substantially higher tumor-to-background ratios and sensitivity for detecting liver metastases (as described in the accompanying paper (19)). The mean lung dose was $2.1E-02 \pm 0.7E-02$ mGy/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 and between $0.6E-03$ and $1.2E-02$ mGy/MBq for $^{68}$Ga-labeled sst receptor agonists.

Slight differences in biodistribution were observed between the 2 doses of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 (15 and 50 µg) 1 h after injection. With the higher peptide amount, the liver, stomach, intestine, and pancreas showed either a trend toward lower background uptake or significantly lower background uptake. The reason for this finding in the liver, reputed to be sst2 receptor–negative, is unknown, and there are notable exceptions among sst2 receptor–expressing organs (e.g., the pituitary and the adrenals, possibly because of their small size and the high partial-volume effects affecting the accuracy of the uptake measure). In any case, this lower background activity is an important feature, as it may improve tumor detection in organs that are potential sites of primary or metastatic disease. However, this finding did not translate into significantly different tumor-to-background ratios or significantly different numbers of detected metastases (when the on-site reader only was considered) between the 2 peptide doses, probably because of the small difference in the amount of peptide and the limited sample size. Nevertheless, this finding suggests that saturation of physiologically expressed sst2 receptors in organs occurs at an early stage of dose escalation and is consistent with the results of our preclinical evaluation using larger peptide amounts (23).

The current study also evaluated the optimal imaging time window for $^{68}$Ga-OPS202. Based on image contrast data, number of detected lesions, and reproducibility, the optimal window is between 1 and 2 h. PET/CT scans for other $^{68}$Ga-labeled sst ligands are also acquired at approximately 1 h (24).

The administration of $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 was well tolerated. Most AEs were grade 1, and there were no grade 3, 4, or 5 AEs. Furthermore, there were no signals of concern from any of the laboratory blood test or urinalysis results. When abnormal laboratory findings were reported, most were related to underlying disease (e.g., chronic kidney disease or diabetes mellitus) or to urinary tract infection. Three AEs were assessed as being possibly related to treatment: grade 1 eosinophilia in one patient, and rash and abdominal pain in a second. Two grade 2 AEs were reported: grade 1 urinary tract infection and grade 2 fatigue, both of which were assessed as possibly related to treatment. The optimal window for $^{68}$Ga-OPS202 was well tolerated. Most AEs were grade 1, and there were no grade 3, 4, or 5 AEs. Furthermore, there were no signals of concern from any of the laboratory blood test or urinalysis results. When abnormal laboratory findings were reported, most were related to underlying disease (e.g., chronic kidney disease or diabetes mellitus) or to urinary tract infection. Three AEs were assessed as being possibly related to treatment: grade 1 eosinophilia in one patient, and rash and abdominal pain in a second. Two grade 2 AEs were reported: grade 1 urinary tract infection and grade 2 fatigue, both of which were assessed as possibly related to treatment.
diarrhea (both grade 1) in another. Mild hyperesinophilia is a nonspecific finding that may accompany a number of conditions (e.g., infections, asthma and allergies, vasculitis, and nonhematologic malignancies) but also can occur as a drug reaction. Other 68Ga-labeled sst analogs are also well tolerated. No clinical adverse reactions were reported for 68Ga-DOTATOC (22) or for 68Ga-DOTATE, and there were no changes in physiologic responses, blood counts, electrolytes, liver function test results, or renal function (20).

One of the limitations of the study was the small patient number, which is, however, typical for this stage of early drug development. The number of patients evaluated in dosimetry studies on the 68Ga-labeled sst receptor agonists was also small (n = 6–10) (20–22). Other limitations related to the study design. First, the crossover design was not 2-way; that is, none of the patients received the higher peptide dose first. Second, extended follow-up was not conducted to evaluate the longer-term safety profile of 68Ga-OPS202. However, long-term safety is not expected to be an issue given the short half-life of the radionuclide, the low radiation burden, and the low amount of peptide, which is likely to be too low to exert pharmacologic activity. The main strength of the study was the use of PET/CT-based 3-dimensional dosimetry, which allows higher spatial resolution and more precise delineation of organ-bound activity than 2-dimensional dosimetry.

CONCLUSION

This phase I study showed that 68Ga-OPS202 is rapidly cleared from the blood, resulting in low background activity, especially in the liver and gastrointestinal tract. Accordingly, administration of 68Ga-OPS202 delivers an acceptable radiation dose to organs. The effective dose is comparable to 68Ga-labeled sst receptor agonists that are already established in the clinic, and there were no safety signals of concern. Further evaluation of 68Ga-OPS202 for PET/CT imaging of NETs and other sst2 receptor–expressing tumors is therefore warranted.
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